(d) if so, the manner in which Government anticipate creation of more jobs in agricultural sector?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRIMATI VASUNDHARA RAJE): (a) and (b) Planning Commission set up a Special Group on Targeting Ten Million Employment Opportunities per year over the Tenth Plan period under the Chairmanship of Dr. S. P. Gupta, Member (Labour, Employment & Manpower), Planning Commission to suggest strategies and programmes in the Tenth Plan for creating gainful employment opportunities for ten million people a year. The special Group submitted its report in May 2002. The Special Group has identified the labour intensive sectors, which should be developed to create employment opportunities. These sectors include agriculture, watershed development, on farm water management, small and medium industries, information technology, education and health services.

(c) and (d) The share of agriculture sector in total employment has been declining over the years. During the period 1983 to 1999-2000, this share declined from 63.3% to 56.7%. Within agriculture, it is proposed to raise the levels of income and employment by improving cropping intensity, encouraging growth of activities such as wasteland development, watershed development and by promoting, in general, a shift of agricultural production in favour of high value,more labour-intensive items such as horticulture, floriculture, medicinal plants, animal husbandry etc.

Failure of States during Ninth Five Year Plan

†1763. SHRIMATI KUM KUM RAI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the States that failed to perform upto the mark in implementation of the Ninth Five Year Plan;

(b) the reasons for unsatisfactory performance by these States, State-wise; and

(c) the action taken by the Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRIMATI VASUNDHARA RAJE): (a) Statement indicating performance of States in respect of implementation of Ninth five Year Plan *viz.* percent of anticipated expenditure to revised outlay during the Ninth Plan is attached *(See* below*)*.

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(b) and (c) While the overall performance of States was fairly good as indicated, the main reasons for shortfall in realisation of projected Ninth Plan Outlays by many States was the inability of these States of mobilize financial resources as projected. States are encouraged during the plan discussions to generate higher level of their own resources *inter-alia* by improving the performance of State level enterprises, increasing tax and non-tax revenue and also by curtailing their non plan expenditure so that sufficient funds are available to fulfil their Plan targets.

Statement

Performance of States/UTs during Ninth Plan at Current Price

(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	*States/UTs*	*Ninth Plan Achivement*		
		Revised Outlay	*Anti Expenditure*	*Anti expdt/ Revised Outlay in percent*
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	28221.87	28279.37	100.20
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	2752.26	2592.63	94.20
3.	Assam	7443.45	7172.82	96.36
4.	Bihar	10498.90	11093.98	105.67
5.	Chhattisgarh*	1312.00	1781.69	
6.	Goa	1468.76	1476.88	100.55
7.	Gujarat	30019.62	25800.95	85.95
8.	Haryana	8624.37	8035.41	93.17
9.	Himachal Pradesh	7729.88	7922.00	102.49
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	8940.81	7850.17	87.80
11.	Jharkhand**	2650.00	2650.00	
12.	Karnataka	29231.21	31125.58	106.48
13.	Kerala	13501.45	14382.83	106.53
14.	Madhya Pradesh	16838.21	17425.08	103.49
15.	Maharashtra	54489.58	46964.10	86.19
16.	Manipur	2045.35	1787.04	87.37
17.	Meghalaya	1852.32	1827.15	98.64
18.	Mizoram	1808.22	1758.77	97.27
19.	Nagaland	1616.13	1513.64	93.66

1	2	3	4	5
20.	Orissa	12565.89	11964.82	95.22
21.	Punjab	12288.14	10666.01	86.80
22.	Rajasthan	21029.82	19836.38	94.33
23.	Sikkim	1240.44	1126.28	90.80
24.	Tamil Nadu	24660.20	24916.71	101.04
25.	Tripura	2205.78	2291.47	103.88
26.	Uttar Pradesh	27816.10	29417.69	105.76
27.	Uttaranchal***	1050.00	1870.57	
28.	West Bengal	18454.08	21551.80	116.79
	TOTAL (STATES)	352354.84	345081.82	97.94
	UNION TERRITORIES			
29.	A & N Islands	1767.62	1751.90	99.11
30.	Chandigarh	709.33	709.95	100.09
31.	D & N Haveli	223.37	220.90	98.89
32.	Daman & Diu	181.03	177.06	97.81
33.	Delhi	14043.86	13260.18	94.42
34.	Lakshadweep	352.34	345.50	98.06
35.	Pondicherry	1456.12	1449.29	99.53
	TOTAL (UTs)	18733.67	17914.78	95.63
	TOTAL (STATES & UTs)	371088.51	362996.60	97.82

NOTE:

1. Anticipated Expenditure includes actual expenditure upto 1999-00 for all States/UTs, anticipated expenditure for Himachal Pradesh for 2000-01 and actual for other States/UTs (except Jharkhand), and Revised Outlay for 2001-02 for all States/UTs.

* Approved outlay for 2001-02, Actual Expenditure for 2000-01 (for 5 months) and anticipated expenditure are for 2001-02 only.

** Approved outlay and anticipated expenditure are for 2001-02 only.

*** Approved outlay for 2001-02, Actual Expenditure for 2000-01and anticipated expenditure are for 2001-02 only.